

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SERGIO RAMIREZ-MARTINEZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL
SECURITY,

Defendant.

No. 1:24-cv-00911-KES-BAM

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS, GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT, AND DENYING
DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT

Docs. 13, 16, 17

Plaintiff Sergio Ramirez-Martinez initiated this action seeking judicial review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security denying his application for disability insurance benefits under Title II of the Social Security Act. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302(c)(15).

On July 18, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending that plaintiff's motion for summary judgment be granted and that the Commissioner's cross-motion for summary judgment and request to affirm the agency determination be denied. Doc. 17. Specifically, the magistrate judge determined that the administrative law judge committed reversible error in the evaluation of the medical opinion evidence. *Id.* at 9. The magistrate judge further found that remand was appropriate. *Id.*

The findings and recommendations were served on the parties and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. *Id.* at 10. The

1 Court advised the parties that “the failure to file objections within the specified time may result in
2 the waiver of the right to challenge the magistrate judge’s factual findings on appeal. *Id.* Neither
3 party filed objections, and the time in which to do so has passed.

4 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the Court conducted a de
5 novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the Court concludes that the
6 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.

7 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 8 1. The findings and recommendations issued on July 18, 2025, Doc. 17, are adopted
9 in full;
- 10 2. Plaintiff’s motion for summary judgment and appeal from the administrative
11 decision of the Commissioner of Social Security, Doc. 13, is granted;
- 12 3. The Commissioner’s cross-motion for summary judgment and request to affirm
13 the agency’s decision, Doc. 16, is denied;
- 14 4. The matter is remanded pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for further proceedings;
15 and
- 16 5. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment in favor of plaintiff Sergio
17 Ramirez-Martinez and against defendant Commissioner of Social Security, and to
18 close this case.

19
20
21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated: August 7, 2025



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE